

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.11692 of 2014

=====

Rajeshwar Prasad Yadav, Son of Late Mewa Lal Yadav, Resident of
Mohalla- Kumharar, P.O. + P.S.- Kumharar, District- Patna

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The Union of India
2. The Secretary, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievance and Pension
(Department of Personnel and Training), 3rd Floor, Lok Nayak Bhawan,
Khem Market, New Delhi
3. The Under Secretary, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievance and
Pension (Department of Personnel and Training), 3rd Floor, Lok Nayak
Bhawan, Khem Market, New Delhi
4. The State of Bihar, through its Principal Secretary, Human Resources
Development Department, Government of Bihar, New Secretariat, Patna
5. The State of Jharkhand, through its Principal Secretary, Human
Resources Development Department, Government of Jharkhand, Ranchi

... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bipin Bihari Singh, Advocate
Mr. Shyama Kant Singh, Advocate
For the State of Jharkhand: Mr. Dhruba Mukharjee, AAG
Mr. Ganesh Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent-U.O.I.: Mr. Anshuman Singh, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHWANI KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 20-03-2017 Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that

during pendency of the writ application the sole petitioner has

died.



In that view of the matter, the present writ application abates and is disposed of as infructuous.

It goes without saying that if the legal heirs of the petitioner feel that cause of action still survives, they may file a fresh writ application in the same subject matter.

(Ashwani Kumar Singh, J.)

Sanjeet/-

U			
---	--	--	--

