

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.39232 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -211 Year- 2017 Thana -GOPALGANJ TOWN District- GOPALGANJ

=====

Amit Singh @ Amit Kumar Rai Son of Banka Rai, R/o Village- Aayagaon,
P.S.- Hathua, District- Gopalganj.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Irshad Ahmad Khan, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party : Smt Indu Kumari Srivastava, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 21-08-2017 Heard the parties.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in Gopalganj P.S. Case
No.211 of 2017 for the offence under Section 394 of I.P.C. and
Section 27 of the Arms Act.

Allegation against the petitioner is under Section 394 of
the I.P.C, though he is not named in the F.I.R. but his name
transpires on the basis of spy appointed by the police. He is
accused in five other cases.

Submission of the learned counsel for the Petitioner is
that he is an employee of B.S.F. and he was on duty. It is further
submitted that in all aforesaid cases he has been made accused on
only suspicion.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.



Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as state above, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.Prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

However, trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude as soon as possible, preferably within nine months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, if the trial is not concluded within nine months, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is dismissed.

AnilKrSinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

