

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.22140 of 2015

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -421 Year- 2013 Thana -JEHANABAD COMPLAINT CASE District-
JEHANABAD

=====

Deep Narayan Singh @ Deep Narayan Sinha Son of Late Rambarat Singh
Resident of village - Nadi, P.S. Karpi, District - Arwal

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dharmdeo Singh Son of Late Sita Sharan Singh
3. Amarendra Kumar Son of Dharmdeo Singh
4. Ram Laxman Singh @ Ram Swarup Singh Son of Late Ram Chandar Singh All Resident of village - Nadi, P.S. Karpi, District - Arwal
5. Mahendar Kumar Son of Daroga Singh Resident of village - Murari, P.S. Karpi, District - Arwal

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioners : Mr. Vijay Kumar
For the Opposite Party : Mr. Suresh Pd.Singh(App)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 22-11-2017 Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner as well as the

learned Counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks quashing of the order dated 19.2.2015 passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Jehanabad, whereby the revision application file by the petitioner was rejected and the order dated 22.8.2014 passed by the Magistrate dismissing the Complaint Case No. 421 of 2013 was upheld.

Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that suspicion was raised by the petitioner against the accused persons and even then cognizance was not taken against the accused persons.

Having considered the submissions and on perusal of



the record the Court finds that the petitioner has lodged a police case i.e. Karpi PS Case No. 174 of 2010 dated 17.12.2010 under Sections 279 and 304(A) of the IPC against unknown vehicle and alleged in the written information that his son was killed in an accident and his body was found lying by the side of the road along with his motorcycle.

The police after investigation found the case true but submitted final form as there was no clue. Thereafter protest petition as filed and as there was no material to take cognizance against the accused persons so the Magistrate rightly dismissed the complaint. Hence there is no material to interfere with the impugned order.

So the application stands dismissed.

(Arun Kumar, J.)

Snkumar/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

