

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.2252 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -48 Year- 2016 Thana -SC/ST District- SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Gupteshwar Singh

..... Appellant

Versus

The State of Bihar

..... Respondent

=====

Appearance :
For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sada Nand Roy
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Binay Krishna

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 23-08-2017 This has come under the heading ‘To Be Mentioned’ for modification of order dated 7.8.2017 by which the appeal was disposed of.

It has been submitted by learned counsel for the appellant that in first paragraph of the said order ‘Section 12 of POCSO Act’ has been mentioned but the case was not instituted under POCSO Act.

From perusal of the record it appears that this case is not relating to POCSO Act, which has inadvertently been mentioned in the order.

Accordingly, the order dated 7.8.2017 stands modified to the extent that ‘Section 12 of POCSO Act’ shall not be treated as part of the order.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the court concerned forthwith.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

