

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.1021 of 2014

IN

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 3329 of 2014

- =====
1. The Bihar State Power Holding Company Ltd., the Erstwhile Bihar State Electricity Board through its Chairman cum Managing Director at present Bihar State Power (Holding) Company Ltd. through the Deputy Law Advisor, Sri Sachchidanand Lal Karn son of Late Triveni Lal Karn having office at Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
 2. The Chairman cum Managing Director, Bihar State Power (Holding) Co., Ltd., Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.
 3. The North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, having its office at Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna through its Managing Director.
 4. The Chief Engineer, Rural Electrification, North Bihar Power Distribution Company Limited, Vidyut Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna.

.... Appellant/s

Versus

1. M/s Mecon Ltd., a company incorporated under the provisions of Companies Act, 1956 having its registered office at Doranda, P.S. - Doranda, Ranchi - 834002 and one of its offices at 50, Chowringhee Road, P.S. - Park Street, Distt - Kolkata through its Authorised Signatory, General Manager (Electrical and Power) Shyam Singh Shekhawat, S/O Shri B.S. Shekhawat, Resident of C-4, Shyamali Colony, P.S. - Doranda, Distt - Ranchi.
2. The Union of India, Ministry of Power, through the Secretary, Government of India, Shastri Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. The Rural Electrification Corporation Limited, a Government of India, Enterprises, having its Corporate office at Core-4, Scope Complex 7, Lodi Road, New Delhi and its Zonal Office at Block - C, 4th Floor, Maurya Lok Complex, New Dak Bunglow Road, Patna - 800001.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Anand Kumar Ojha

For the Respondent/s : Mr. S. D. Sanjay, Sr. Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI

and

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI)

Date: 06-04-2017

Counsel for the appellant-the Power Holding Company

fairly informs the Court that since the order, which has been



interfered with by the Learned Single Judge was passed in the year 2013 and effect and validity was only for a period of two years, no purpose would be achieved or served by pressing this appeal any further in the changed circumstances.

Appeal is dismissed in the above circumstances.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

(Nilu Agrawal, J)

SKM/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	
Uploading Date	07.04.2017
Transmission Date	

