

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Appeal (SJ) No.1253 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -166 Year- 2007 Thana -ISLAMPUR District- NALANDA
(BIHARSHARIFF)

=====

VIJENDRA YADAV @ Vijindra Yadav @ SHAILENDRA YADAV Son
of Kuleshwar Yadav, Resident of Village- Pachlova, Police Station-
Islampur, District- Nalanda.

.... Appellant

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Vibhuti Ranjan Sonvadra, Advocate

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

5 17-07-2017 The appellant seeks bail in connection with Islampur

P.S. Case No. 166/2007 registered for offences punishable under

Sections 341, 323, 504, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120(B) of the Indian

Penal Code and Section 3(1)(x) of the SC/ST (Prevention of

Atrocities) Act.

The allegation against the appellant is that he took Rs.

1,04,400/- from 180 ladies including the informant for making

available the Government money to the tune of Rs. 20,000/- each

from Welfare Department to all Harijan Mahila for marriage of

their daughter but when the informant did not get the benefit

under the Scheme, she demanded her money from the appellant

whereafter the appellant abused her by taking her caste name and

refused to give money.



It has been submitted on behalf of the appellant that he has been falsely implicated in this case and he has no connection with the informant and he is an outsider.

Heard Special PP also. He stated that allegation as per FIR is serious and witnesses have supported the case of the prosecution.

Having heard both side, in view of the facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the appellant. However, considering the fact that appellant is in custody since 11.12.2016, learned 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Hilsa (Nalanda) is directed to conclude the trial within a period of 6 months. In case the trial is not concluded within a period of six months, the appellant would be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail.

With the above observation, this appeal is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J.)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

