

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.23226 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -296 Year- 2013 Thana -DALSINGHSARAI District- SAMASTIPUR

=====

Ratan Thakur, S/o - Late Shiv Shankar Thakur, R/V - Kumahar Tola
Madudabad, P.S. - Mohaddinagar, District - Samastipur.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

3 05-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned
A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Sessions Trial
No.12 of 2016, arising out of Dalsingsarai P.S. Case No.296 of
2013, registered under Sections 302 and 201/34 of the Indian
Penal Code, pending in the court of the 4th Additional Sessions
Judge, Samastipur.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submits that it would appear from the F.I.R. that after the recovery
of headless dead body of an unknown male, the present case was
lodged on 02.09.2013 against unknown. In course of
investigation, this petitioner was apprehended by the police in



connection with Mohaddinagar P.S. Case No.234 of 2013 and, thereafter, the police got recorded the confessional statement of the petitioner on 18.12.2013, showing his involvement and one Sattan Rai alias Mahadeo Rai in the present case. After that, on the basis of the confessional statement of the petitioner, the bone of the head of the deceased was recovered from the field and it was detected that the deceased was Santosh Paswan. Further submission is that while the petitioner is accused in four other cases, as detailed in paragraph-3 to the application, but out of the aforesaid four cases, in one case, he is on bail and in other three cases, he has already been acquitted. The petitioner is in jail custody since 08.12.2013.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case and the nature of allegation, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer of the petitioner for grant of bail stands rejected. However, the trial court is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and try to conclude the same as early as possible.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

P.S./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

