IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1102 of 2015

==========

1. Smt. Manju Chaudhary, W/o Sri Nagendra Chaudhary, Resident of Mohalla - Laxmi Sagar, Police Station - L.N.M.U. Campus, District - Darbhanga.
2. Aman Chaudhary Son of Sri Nagendra Chaudhary Resident of Mohalla - Laxmi Sagar, Police Station - L.N.M.U. Campus, District - Darbhanga.

.... Petitioners

Versus

1. The Central Bank of India, through its Chairman, Head Office Chandramukhi, Narima Point, Mumbai- 400021.
2. The Chairman, Central Bank of India, Nariman Point, Mumbai.
3. The Zonal Manager, Central Bank of India, Mauryalok Complex "B" Block, Patna - 1.
4. The Branch Manager Central Bank of India, Bela Industrial Estate Branch, Bela, P.O. - Lalbagh, Darbhanga.

.... Respondents

==========

Appearance:
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjeev Ranjan, Adv.
Mr. Satish Narayan Sinha, Adv.
For the Respondents: Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Sudhendra Trivedi, Adv.
Ms. Surabhi, Adv.

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL JUDGMENT
Date: 03-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. This Court in the order dated 19.01.2017 has found that only the dispute with respect to payment of Rs.2,00,000/- is remaining and observed that the respondent-Bank must be satisfied if the petitioner deposits Rs.1,00,000/-.

WEB COPY

3. Today, Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Prasad, learned counsel for the respondent-Bank submits that the Bank is ready to accept the suggestion given by the Court subject to payment of litigation cost.

4. Both sides have received burnt of the litigation. Let the respective parties bear their own litigation costs.

5. If the petitioner deposits Rs.1,00,000/- with the respondent-Bank within a period of four weeks from today, then the matter will be treated to have been finally settled and no further litigation will be left between the parties. It is clarified that if the petitioner would fail to deposit Rs.1,00,000/- within the stipulated period, then the respondent-Bank will be at liberty to recover the amount, which the Bank is entitled to, in accordance with law. This Court makes it very clear that no further time will be granted to the petitioner to deposit the aforesaid amount.

6. With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

pawan/-

AFR/NAFR	N.A.F.R.
CAV DATE	N/A.
Uploading Date	06.02.2017
Transmission Date	

WEB COPY