

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.26918 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -322 Year- 2016 Thana -BIRPUR District- SUPAUL

=====

1. INARDEO MEHTA @ INDRADEO MEHTA, son of Late Lakhan Mahta, resident of Village- West Kusaha Jamua Ward No.3, Police Station- Lokhai, District- Sunsari (Nepal).

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Upendra Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Veena Kumari Jaiswal

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Ansuiya Jaiswal, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-07-2017 The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Birpur P.S. Case No. 322 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Section 27(b)(ii) of Narcotic Drugs and Substance Act and 21 of NDPS Act.

Allegation against the petitioner and one other co-accused is of recovery of 100 bottles of Corex Syrup each containing 100 M.L.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has falsely been implicated in this case and has nothing to do with the said recovery. Moreover, the petitioner has sufficiently been punished for the said offence as he has been in judicial custody since 23.12.2016 and other co-accused of this case has already



been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 14.06.2017, passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 26953 of 2017.

Heard learned A.P.P. also.

Having heard both sides, in view of the facts as stated above, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.D.J-II, Supaul, in connection with Birpur P.S. Case No. 332 of 2016, subject to the following conditions:-

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence. The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution will be free to move for cancellation of his bail bonds.



It is also made clear that if the petitioner again found involved in any of such offence, in future, his bail bonds will be cancelled.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

