

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.26987 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -67 Year- 2017 Thana -GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP. District-  
NAWADA

=====

Panna Lal Vaidh @ Panna Lal Baidh, Son of Bhagwan Vaidh, Resident  
of Jugawat Bassi, P.S.- Salambar, District- Udaypur, State- (Rajasthan).

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Man Mohan Kumar

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**

ORAL ORDER

3      06-07-2017                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 09.03.2017 in  
connection with G.O. Case No. 67 of 2017 for the offences alleged  
under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely  
implicated in connection with the alleged recovery of 450 litres of  
*Royal Stag Whisky*. Recovery of the offending goods from the  
possession of the petitioner is denied. It is submitted that during  
the prosecution report it has been stated that the petitioner has no  
concern with the goods in question. The petitioner claims clean  
antecedents.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the  
facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody  
since 09.03.2017 already suffered, let the petitioner above named  
be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten  
thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction  
of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Nawada in connection with G.O.



Case No. 67 of 2017 with the following conditions:

(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Md. Ibrarul/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

