

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.27558 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -68 Year- 2017 Thana -GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP. District-  
SASARAM (ROHTAS)

=====

Sonu Kumar S/o Ashok Ram, Resident of Village- Mahadiganj, Police  
Station- Sasaram (Muffasil) District- Rohtas.

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... .... Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Arvind Kumar Pandey

For the Opposite Party : APP

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN**  
**ORAL ORDER**

4      06-07-2017                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner is in custody since 19.02.2017 in connection with Excise Case No. 68 of 2017 for the offences alleged under Section 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act, 2016.

3. It is submitted that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in connection with the alleged recovery of 240 litres of country made wine and one kilogram Mahua flowers. The same has admittedly been recovered from the pond in front of the petitioner's house. It is submitted that there is only one other case in which the petitioner has been made accused.

4. Be that as it may, having regard to the entirety of the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the period of custody since 19.02.2017 already suffered, let the petitioner above named be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sasaram in connection with Excise Case No. 68 of 2017 with the following conditions:



(i) That one of the bailors shall be a close relative of the petitioner.

(ii) That the petitioner shall not indulge in any similar offence till conclusion of the trial.

(iii) The petitioner shall cooperate with the investigation, if not already concluded, and make himself available as and when so required and in case of failure, the State shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(iv) That the petitioner shall remain physically present in court on each and every date during trial and in the event of failure on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be liable to be cancelled by the learned Court concerned.

**(Vikash Jain, J)**

Md. Ibrarul/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

