

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Second Appeal No.198 of 1992

=====

Late Bansu Harijan @ Bansu Chamar through L.Rs. Appellant/s
Versus
Shanmati Devi & Ors ... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Satish Chandra Surya
Mr. Bishwanath Chaudhary
Mr. R.K.Verma
Mr. Sudhir Ranjan
Mr. Rajiv Kumar

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Rajendra Narain
Mr. .

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE BIRENDRA KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

23 12-10-2017

No one appears on behalf of the appellants.

Heard learned counsel for the respondents.

This appeal stood dismissed against the substituted respondent Nos.12(a) to 12(f) due to non-compliance of the peremptory order for filing of requisites of notices against them.

Now the file has been placed for necessary order whether the appeal would abate only against those respondents or would abate as a whole.

The matter arises out of a suit for foreclosure. No cause of action survives in favour of the appellants, in absence of the substituted respondents. Hence, this appeal would abate as a whole.



Accordingly, it stands dismissed as abated as
a whole.

(Birendra Kumar, J)

Nitesh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

