

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.29286 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -27 Year- 2017 Thana -DANAPUR District- PATNA

=====

1. Manish Priyadarshi S/o Krishna Bihari Prasad Sinha, Resident of Plot no.
11, Bisheswaraya Nagar, Baliy Road, Patna, P.S. Rupaspur, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms. Shama Sinha, Adv

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Anil Kumar Singh 1

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S. KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

3 07-07-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned counsel for the State.

This application for the grant of regular bail has been
filed on behalf of the petitioner, who is in custody in connection
with Danapur P.S. Case No. 27 of 2017(G.R. No. 148 of 2017) for
the offences punishable under Sections 467, 468, 419, 420, 506
read with 34 of the IPC.

The FIR was lodged on the basis of written complaint
of Sohail Khan, Acting Officer (Karyakari Adhikari) of Helios
Corporation Limited, Maharani Complex, Bypass Road,
Anishabad, Patna to the Officer-in-Charge of Danapur Police



Station, Patna. In the written complaint the informant has alleged that he has been authorized by the Chairman of Helios Group of Companies to look after the property of company which includes landed property. It has been further alleged that on 01.06.2012, a plot belonging to the said company measuring 25 kathas was agreed to be sold to Nikhil Priyadarshi and Manish Priyadarshi (petitioner) and for that agreement was executed and subsequently a sale deed was also executed on 18.02.2014 and 06.03.2014 by Sanjay Kumar Singh of Helios Group of Companies to Nikhil Priyadarshi brother of the petitioner. A cheque to the tune of Rs. 17 Crore 25 Lakhs was issued by Nikhil Priyadarshi and Manish Priyadarshi as consideration money for the above sale deed, but the said cheque was never encashed and later on by a deed of cancellation executed by Nikhil Priyadarshi and Manish Priyadarshi (petitioner), the above sale deed was cancelled. It has been further alleged that on the basis of said sale deed, which was subsequently cancelled by the petitioner and his brother, the petitioner and his brother are trying to sell the aforesaid land to other persons and they are not the owner of the said land. It has been further alleged that the petitioner and his brother threatened the guards who has been kept there to protect the possession of the informant over the land, petitioner and his brother wants to



dispossess the informant from the said land.

Considering the nature of allegation as made in the written complaint and the dispute of execution of sale deed and vague allegation against the petitioner to sell the land by deciting and cheating the prospective buyer by claiming to be the land owner of the said land, the petitioner is directed to be released on bail upon furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/-(ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned **Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Danapur** in connection with **Danapur P.S. Case No. 27 of 2017(G.R. No. 148 of 2017).**

(S. Kumar, J)

Ranjan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

