

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16128 of 2016

=====

Sumitra Kumari daughter of Jagannath Baitha, wife of Rajiv Ranjan Baitha
resident of village - Paharpur Manorath, ward no. - 1, Post - Manayn, P.S. -
Sahebganj, District - Muzaffarpur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Social Welfare
Department, Bihar, Patna.
 2. The Director, Department of Integrated Child Development, Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Secretary, Department of Integrated Child Development, Bihar,
Patna.
 4. The District Magistrate, Muzaffarpur.
 5. The Addl. Collector, Muzaffarpur.
 6. The S.D.O., West Muzaffarpur.
 7. The District Program Officer, Department of Integrated Child
Development, Muzaffarpur.
 8. The District Welfare Officer, Muzaffarpur.
 9. The Child Development Project Officer, Block - Sahebganj, Muzaffarpur.
- Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arvind Kumar, Adv.
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Gyan Shankar, AC to GP2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN
ORAL ORDER

2 15-11-2017 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner raises a grievance that although she has
been selected for appointment as Anganwari Sahaika in Gram
Panchayat Raj Paharpur Manorath, in the district of Muzaffarpur
but the appointment order has not been issued.

It is not in dispute that the guidelines in force regulating
the service of Anganwari Sevika/ Sahaika provides for a forum for
raising grievances arising from a selection process and which is to
be raised before the District Programme Officer by any applicant.



The petitioner without exhausting the forum, is before this Court.

In the circumstances, the writ petition is disposed of with a liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur with her grievance and it goes without saying that any such representation being filed within four weeks from today by the petitioner shall be considered and disposed of by the District Programme Officer, Muzaffarpur in accordance with law and with opportunity of hearing to the petitioner as well as any other contesting parties within a period of three months from filing of such representation.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

Surendra/-

U			
---	--	--	--

