

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.10387 of 2012

=====

Kundan Kumar Das, Son of Late Dhaneshwar Das Resident of Mohalla- Bangaon Road, Meertola, Ward No. 7, Near of Dr. Abdul Kalam House, P.S.- Saharsa, District- Saharsa.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga through its Vice-Chancellor.
2. The Examination Controller Lalit Narayan Mithila University Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
3. The Registrar, Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Kameshwar Nagar, Darbhanga.
4. The Vice-Chancellor, Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University, Madhepura.
5. The Examination Controller Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University, Madhepura.
6. The Registrar, Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University, Madhepura.
7. The Principal, Evening College, Saharsa.
8. The Principal Ramesh Jha Mahila College, Saharsa.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Rajesh Bharati, Adv.
For the Respondent Nos. 1-3 : Mrs. Binita Singh, Adv.
For the B.N. Mandal University : Mr. Raju Giri, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 29-03-2017

Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner claims that he had appeared in B.A. Hons. (Sociology) Examination held by Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga. It is his grievance that his result has so far not



been declared.

3. This writ application, under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, has been filed nearly 19 years after the petitioner appeared in the B.A. Hons. Examination.

4. I do not find any justifiable ground taken in the pleading of the application for the delay in approaching this Court in writ jurisdiction.

5. A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the Lalit Narayan Mithila University, Darbhanga stating therein that the College, in which the petitioner claims to have studied, now falls under Bhupendra Narayan Mandal University, Madhepura.

6. In view of the delay, no *mandamus* can be issued asking respondents to declare the result of the petitioner.

7. This application has no merit and is, accordingly, dismissed.

8. The dismissal of the writ application will not come in the way of the University examining the petitioner's case and declaring his result, if his case is found to be genuine.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	01/04/17
Transmission Date	

