

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.23787 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -178 Year- 2014 Thana -NABINAGAR District- AURANGABAD

=====

1. Vikash Kumar @ Vikash Kumar Verma @ Chhotu @ Dablu, Son of Pradeep Mahto, resident of Village Telhara P.S. Amba District-Aurangabad.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bindeshwar Prasad Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Khurshid Anwar

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 20-06-2017

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with Nabinagar P.S. Case No. 178 of 2014 registered for offence punishable under Section 392 of Indian Penal Code.

Allegation against the petitioner is that he has been made accused under Section 392 IPC.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is not named in the F.I.R., later on in course of investigation his name has been transpired. Similarly situated other co-accused, person has already been granted bail vide order dated 28.03.2016 passed in Criminal Miscellaneous No. 12752 of 2016 and the case of the petitioner is on better footing to the co-accused. Petitioner remained in custody for more than six months.



Heard learned A.P.P. opposes the prayer of bail on the ground that he has criminal antecedent.

Having heard both sides, considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature of offence and period of custody, let the petitioner above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000 (Rs. Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned C.J.M., Aurangabad in connection with Nabinagar P.S. Case No. 178 of 2014 subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.

(ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The petitioner shall cooperate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

