

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Review No.545 of 2016

In

LPA 899 of 2013

=====

Maheru Shah Jabin, Daughter of Matiur Rahman, wife of Safi Akhtar,
Resident of Village- Mahisbathna, Police Station and Block- Bahadurganj,
District- Kishanganj. Petitioner.

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
 2. The Commissioner-Cum-Secretary, Human Resources Development
Department, Government Of Bihar, Patna.
 3. The Director (Primary Education), Government of Bihar, Patna.
 4. The District Magistrate, Kishanganj.
 5. The District Teacher Appointment Appellate Tribunal, Kishanganj.
 6. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Kishanganj.
 7. The District Superintendent of Education, Kishanganj.
 8. The District Education Officer, Kishanganj.
 9. The Block Development Officer, Terhgachh, District- Kishanganj.
 10. The Block Education Extension Officer, Terhgachh, District
Kishanganj.
 11. The Present Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat Chilhania, Police Station and
Block Terhgachh, District- Kishanganj. null null
 12. The Present Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Chilhania, Police
Station and Block Terhgachh, District- Kishanganj.
 13. Surendra Kumar Choudhary, Son of Anandi Prasad Chaudhary,
Resident of Village Balua Kaliganj, Police-Station Palasi, Distt. Araria.
 14. Khushnuma Begam, Daughter of Ashfaque Alam, Police-Station
Terhgachh, Distt. Kishanganj.
 15. Safi Akhtar, Son of Late Md. Usman, Resident of Village- Mahisbathna,
Police Station and Block- Bahadurganj, District- Kishanganj.
.... Respondents.
- =====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : M/s Ajay Thakur, Ravi Ranjan
and Amir Alam, Advocates.

For the Respondents : Mr.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH

And

HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE NAVANITI PRASAD SINGH)

03 01-03-2017

Having heard Mr. Ajay Thakur, learned counsel in
support of the review application and after perusing the records,
we do not consider it a fit case for interference. In our view, no



- 2 -

proper case for interference has been made out. If the petitioner is aggrieved by our decision, it is open for him to appeal but review is not the remedy.

Accordingly, this review application is dismissed.

(Navaniti Prasad Singh, J.)

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Trivedi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

