

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.8457 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -553 Year- 2016 Thana -SHERGHATI District- GAYA

=====

Parmod Yadav son of Rajendra Yadav resident of village - Kosma, P.S. -  
Imamganj, Dist - Gaya.

.... .... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... .... Opposite Party

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. S. Jamil Akhtar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S. Ehteshamuddin

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      15-03-2017      Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned  
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case instituted under  
Sections 387 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 17 of C.L.A.  
Act.

It is alleged that the petitioner threatened the  
informant and demanded ransom of 5 percent of levy on the cost  
of total construction otherwise the building will be blasted.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that  
the petitioner is in custody since 27.11.2016. The petitioner has  
got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of  
witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The charge sheet has  
been submitted in the present case. The petitioner has been made



accused due to mistake of fact. There is no substantive evidence to suggest his implication in the present case, except for suspicion.

On behalf of the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and ransom was demanded on behalf of the petitioner by other co-accused persons. The petitioner is involved in Maoist activity.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner '**at this stage**'. The same is rejected in Sherghati P.S. Case No. 553 of 2016, pending in the court of learned A.C.J.M., Sherghati, Gaya.

**(Sudhir Singh, J)**

Amit/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

