

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Criminal Miscellaneous No.10811 of 2017**

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -105 Year- 2016 Thana -LADANIA District- MADHUBANI

=====

1. Mahesh Singh Son of Laxman Singh, Resident of Village- Navtoli, P.S.-  
Ladaniya, District- Madhubani.

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar ,  
2. Jai Prakash Singh Son of Sukdeo Singh,  
3. Hare Ram Singh Son of Saryug Singh,  
4. Om Prakash Singh Son of Sukdeo Singh,  
5. Sukdeo Singh, Son of Late Budhan Singh, Resident of Village- Navtoli,  
P.S.- Ladaniya, District- Madhubani.

.... .... Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Gagan Deo Yadav, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Panchanand Pandit, A.P.P.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI**  
**SHARAN SINGH**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      06-03-2017                      The opposite parties No. 1 to 4 have been granted  
  
anticipatory bail by an order dated 07.01.2017 passed by learned  
  
2<sup>nd</sup> Additional Sessions Judge, Madhubani in A.B.P. No. 1313 of  
  
2016 in connection with Ladaniya P.S. Case No. 105 of 2016  
  
registered for the offence punishable under Sections 323, 341, 324  
  
and 307/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner,  
  
who is informant of the case, has submitted that there being  
  
allegation of assault made by the petitioner on the head of the  
  
informant, the court below considering the seriousness of the  
  
offence ought not to have granted privilege of anticipatory bail.



Upon perusal of the First Information Report and considering other materials on record, I do not find it to be a case of wrong exercise of discretion by the court below while allowing opposite parties No. 2 to 4 privilege of anticipatory bail. This application has no merit and it is, accordingly, dismissed.

**(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)**

Vats/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

