

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Review No.75 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 22637 of 2013

=====

Sumitra Kumari, wife of Ram Ayodhya Prasad, resident of Village:
Rakashiya, P.S Dulhin Bazar, District Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Human Resource Department, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Director, Primary Education, Bihar, Patna.
3. The Collector of the District- Patna.
4. The Block Development Officer, Dulhin Bazar, Patna.
5. The District Education Superintendent, Patna.
6. The Mukhiya of Gram Panchayat Raj, Achhua Rakashiya, under Bock-Dulhin Bazar, District- Patna.
7. The Panchayat Secretary of Gram Panchayat Raj, Achhua Rakashiya, under Block- Dulhin Bazar, District- Patna.
8. The District Teacher Empolymment Appellate Authority, Patna.
9. Sangita Kumari, daughter of Late Ganpat Singh, resident of Village: Rakashiya, P.S Dulhin Bazar, District Patna, presently posted as Panchayat Teacher, in Primary School, Rakashiya Musahari, within Dulhin Bazar Block in Patna District.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Nityanand, Advocate
For the Respondents : Mr. K.K.Jha, AAG-14
Mr. Amish Jha, AC to AAG-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR TRIPATHI
ORAL ORDER

2 01-03-2017 Heard counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the State.

The review application is dismissed because what the petitioner is trying to urge is not to point out any legal or factual inaccuracy in the order but to innovate and reargue the application as a desperate measure to overcome the adjudication already made on the earlier round of application.



The civil review application is dismissed being
devoid of merit.

(Ajay Kumar Tripathi, J)

Pawan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

