

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16889 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -160 Year- 2016 Thana -NARPATGANJ District- ARRARIA

=====

Rohit Kumar @ Rohit Kumar Yadav, Son of Parmanand Yadav, Resident of
Village- Pathardeba, Police Station- Narpatganj (Bathnaha), District-
Araria.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Viveka Nandsingh
Mr. Aarsh Kumar, Advocates
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Satyendra Prasad, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 08-04-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

The petitioner seeks regular bail in connection with
Narpatganj P.S. Case No. 160/2016, registered for offences
punishable under Sections 363 and 366A/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner
that it is a case of love affairs and the petitioner has falsely been
implicated in this case at the instance of the father of the victim
girl and the petitioner is in custody since 16.1.2017.

Heard learned A.P.P. also. Learned A.P.P.
opposes the prayer for bail.

Having heard both sides and in view of the



statement of the victim girl under Section 164 Cr. P. C. in which she has made certain allegations against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The prayer is, accordingly, rejected.

However, considering the fact that the petitioner is in custody, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the commitment proceedings of the petitioner and the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after the evidence of the girl is adduced before the Court.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed at this stage.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

S.Pandey/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

