

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.4651 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -185 Year- 2016 Thana -BARACHATTI District- GAYA

=====

1. Arun Kumar Yadav Son of Amresh Kumar @ Amresh Yadav Resident
of Village-kurid, P.S. Wasist Nagar joti, District-Chatra.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. N.A. Shamshi

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. S. Ehteshamuddin, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 14-02-2017 The petitioner is in custody since 04.06.2016 in connection with Barachatti P.S. Case No. 185 of 2016, registered for offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 307, 353 and 414 of the Indian Penal Code and Section 25 (1-b) a/26/27 and Section 35 of the Arms Act.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he has been falsely implicated in the present case and nothing has been recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner as the said fact itself appears from the seizure list, that the said recovery has been made from a *Katcha* road. Petitioner has been in judicial custody since 04.06.2016.

Learned counsel for the State opposed the prayer for bail
Having heard both sides, in view of the fact that that petitioner has



criminal antecedent as he is accused in as many as nine other cases, I am not inclined to grant the petitioner, the privilege of bail, at this stage, it is accordingly rejected.

However, learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude it within a period of six months and if the trial is not concluded within the aforesaid period, the petitioner will be at liberty to renew his prayer for bail before the Court below itself, which will be considered by the Court below on the merit of the case without being prejudiced by the order of this Court.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

sunil/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

