

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.8456 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -118 Year- 2015 Thana -JADIA District- SUPAUL

=====

Md. Sajjad Son of Md. Haneef Resident of Village - Fulkaha Ward no. 17,
P.S. - Jadia, District - Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Arun Kumar Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ahtash Ali Khan

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL ORDER

2 15-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case instituted under
Section 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged that while the informant along with his
wife was going to the house of his daughter, in the way, he
received a message that his son-in-law (petitioner) committed
murder of his daughter. Thereafter he reached at the Sasural of his
daughter and found her dead body.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
the petitioner is in custody since 21.02.2016. The petitioner has
got no criminal antecedent. There is no allegation of tampering of
witnesses alleged against the petitioner. The charges have already
been framed and all the prosecution witnesses have been
examined, except the official witnesses i.e. Investigating Officer
and the Doctor. The petitioner has falsely been implicated in the



present case.

On behalf of the State, it has been submitted that the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and he is the main assailant.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. The same is rejected in S. Tr. No. 163 of 2016, arising out of Jadia P.S. Case No. 118 of 2015, pending in the court of learned Sessions Judge, Supaul.

Anyhow, the Trial Court is directed to take all necessary steps to conclude the trial preferably within a period of 04 months from the date of receipt/production of copy of this order.

The District Magistrate, Supaul and the Superintendent of Police, Supaul are directed to ensure that the remaining prosecution witnesses are produced in the court on the date fixed by the court below, so that the trial could be concluded within the stipulated period, as indicated by the court below.

Let a copy of this order be communicated to the District Magistrate, Supaul and the Superintendent of Police, Supaul.

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

