

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16916 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -95 Year- 2016 Thana -KOPA District- SARAN

=====

Mukesh Kumar @ Mukesh Prasad, son of Harendra Prasad, resident of
Village-Amaw, Police Station-Baniyapur, District-Saran

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 04-05-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

This is an application for bail in connection with Kopa
P.S.Case No. 95 of 2016 registered for the offences punishable
under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

Petitioner is not named in the FIR. Later on during
course of investigation name of the petitioner transpires.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner has been made accused on the basis of confessional
statement of co-accused before police as well as of the petitioner
and seizure list has also not been properly prepared. It has further
been submitted that petitioner is in custody for six months.

Heard learned APP also, who has opposed the prayer
for bail on the ground that looted mobile has been recovered from



the petitioner and petitioner has criminal antecedents also.

Having heard both sides and considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

However, learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude the same within a period of six months. If the trial is not concluded within the said period, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

