

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No 19647 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -122 Year- 2016 Thana -GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL COMP. District-
MADHEPURA

=====

Ramesh Gupta Son of Yogendra Gupta, Resident of Village- Singheshwar,
Near Mela Ground, Ward No 3, Police Station- Singheshwar, District-
Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr Shailendra Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the S t a t e : Mr Bisheshwar Ram, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

2 26-04-2017

Heard the parties.

Petitioner has earlier moved this Court and his prayer for bail was rejected vide order dated 13.02.2017 passed in Cr Misc No 4283 of 2017 with an observation that he may renew his prayer for bail after framing of charge in this case. It has been submitted that charge has already been framed but it could not be pointed out at that time and, as such, he has filed the present application and he has remained in custody for about six months and he has no criminal antecedent.

Heard learned APP also.

Having heard both sides and in view of the facts and circumstances, as stated above, let the petitioner, above named, be



enlarged on bail on his furnishing bonds of Rs 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Additional Sessions Judge I –cum- Special Judge, NDPS Act, Madhepura in connection with Special Case No 27 of 2016 CIS 24 of 2016-17 arising out of Excise Case No 122 of 2016-17.

With following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned Court.

(ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.

(iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the Court and in the event of failure on his part to appear before the Court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reason, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

M.E.H./-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

