

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.18616 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -475 Year- 2016 Thana -HAJIPUR District- VAISHALI(HAJIPUR)

=====

Bipin Kumar @ Bipin Rai, S/o Bucha Rai R/o Village- Diwan touk, P.S. -
Ganga Bridge, Distt- Vaishali at Hajipur.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ranjit Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Sahin Begam

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 10-05-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the State.

The petitioner is an accused in connection with Town
Hajipur (Vaishali) P.S. Case No. 475 of 2016, registered under
Sections 399, 402, 414, 467, 420 and 472 of Indian Penal Code,
Section 20 and 22 of the N.D.P.S. Act and Section 25 (1-B)A, 26 II, 35
of the Arms Act, pending in the Court of 1st Addl. Sessions Judge-
cum-Special Judge, Vaishali at Hajipur.

The accusation is that on receiving secret information by
the Police about gathering of the miscreants for planning to commit
heinous crime at fish market. The Police reached there and seven
persons, including the petitioner, were apprehended. After search, 3 kg
Ganja was recovered from the possession of Sanjay Kumar and 03 Kg
Ganja was recovered from the possession of petitioner Bipin Kumar,
02 Kg 10 Gram Ganja was recovered from the possession of Rahul



Kumar and arms and ammunitions were recovered from the possession of Vikram Kumar, Vishal Kumar, Ravindra Kumar and Suresh Kumar.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in this case. Further submission is that, in fact, at the time of search of fish market, miscreants fled away after throwing Ganga and petitioner, who was present there for purchasing the fish has been apprehended. The petitioner is in custody since 12.07.2016.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and nature of allegation, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. Accordingly, prayer of the petitioner, above named, is rejected.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

