

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6316 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -154 Year- 2016 Thana -PATAHI District-
EASTCHAMPARAN(MOTIHARI)

=====

1. Chunnu Kumar Mahto Son of Jairam Mahto, Resident of Village-
Nonfarwa, P.S.- Patahi, District- East Champarn.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Umesh Chandra Verma

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Satyendra Prasad

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**
ORAL ORDER

3 19-04-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. representing the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Patahi P.S.
Case No. 154 of 2016 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 399, 402, 414, 411 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
25 (1-b), a, 26 and 35 of the Arms Act.

Allegedly, the petitioner and others were apprehended
when they were assembled to commit the crime, whereas some
accused persons succeeded in fleeing away and from possession of
the petitioner one loaded country made *Katta*, one wrist watch and
one knife were recovered.

Submission is of false implication and that nothing has



been recovered from possession of the petitioner. Co-accused Rup Lal Kumar Sah has been allowed bail vide Cri. Misc. No. 6945/17 by another co-ordinate Bench of this Court and the petitioner is suffering in custody since 19.11.2016, deserves sympathetic consideration.

The learned A.P.P. submits that from possession of the petitioner one loaded *katta*, knife and wrist watch were recovered.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, the petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on bail **after completion of six months in custody** on execution of bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M., Sikrahana at Dhaka, East Champaran in connection with Patahi P.S. Case No. 154 of 2016 subject to the conditions that one of the bailors must be near relative and another having sufficient immovable property within the territorial jurisdiction of the court concerned and the petitioner shall remain present on each and every date during trial and the default on two consecutive dates on his part without any reason shall disentitle the petitioner from privilege of bail.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

sushma/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

