

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.12421 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -466 Year- 2016 Thana -RUNISAIDPUR District- SITAMARHI

=====

1. Md. Sadre Alam @ Kamre Alam son of Md. Jubair resident of Village -
Aulipur Tola Gurdah, P.S. - Runnisaidpur, District - Sitamarhi.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ashhar Mustafa

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Smt. Meena Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 15-05-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Runnisaidpur P.S.Case No. 466 of 2016 registered under Sections
302 and 328 of the Indian Penal Code, pending in the court of
learned C.J.M., Sitmarhi.

The petitioner is the husband of the deceased. It is
alleged that the deceased was married with the petitioner in 2004.
The informant got information that his daughter was done to
death in her Sasural. The informant went to the Sasural of his
daughter and saw that the dead body of his daughter was lying
on a Chouki. Gotani of the deceased stated that in the last night
his daughter became ill and her husband took her to Muzaffarpur
for treatment but she died. It is further alleged that this petitioner



made demand of a motorcycle. The petitioner had come from Delhi two days prior to the occurrence and began quarelling with his daughter. The informant suspected that his daughter had been administered poison and done to death. The witnesses in paras 6, 7, 42 and 43 of the case diary have supported the allegation against the petitioner of quarrelling with her one day prior to the occurrence, on account of which she became ill and she was taken to hospital. From post mortem report it appears that the doctor could not ascertain cause of death and viscerae have been preserved.

Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected at this stage. The trial court is directed to expedite the trial.

The petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months if no substantive progress is made in the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

