

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18819 of 2016

=====

M/s Vikash Saw Mill, Sangat Phulwarisharif, P.S. Phulwarisharif, District - Patna, a Proprietorship firm through its Proprietor Anil Kumar Sharma Son of Late Rameshwar Sharma resident of Mohalla - Phulwarisharif, P.S. Phulwarisharif, District Patna

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar, through the Principal Secretary, Environment & Forest Department, Government of Bihar, Patna
2. The Principal Chief Conservator of Forest, Government of Bihar, Patna
3. The Divisional Forest Officer, Patna Forest Division, Patna
4. The Ranger, Patna West Forest Range, Patna

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vinay Mistry, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Niraj Kumar Sinha, AC to PAAG-2

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 19-01-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel for the State.

In the present case, the petitioner is challenging the seizure of the material as the petitioner is not having a licence of the saw mill but, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has the license and wrongly the property has been seized.

This is the matter which has to be looked into by the competent authority. If an application is filed by the petitioner for release of the material, the authority concerned will decide the case in accordance with law after examining all the points raised by the



petitioner.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Rishi/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	21.01.2017
Transmission Date	

