

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1839 of 2016

=====

Arti Kumari, Daughter of Shrawan Prasad, Resident of Mohalla- Tulshi Mandi,
Gulzarbagh near Jain Mandir , PO- Gulzarbagh, P.S- Alamganj, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Director General of Police, Bihar, Patna.
2. The Senior Superintendent of Police, Patna.
3. The Secretary, Central Selection Board of Constable, Bihar Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Sinha, Adv.
For the Respondent-State	:	Mr. Kameshwar Kumar, GP-17 Mr. Arvind Kumar, AC to GP-17
For the Respondent-CSBC	:	Mr. Sanjay Pandey, Adv. Mr. Binod Kumar Mishra, Adv. Mr. Vivek Anand Amritesh, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JYOTI SARAN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 04-09-2017

Heard Mr. Rajeev Ranjan Sinha, learned counsel appearing
for the petitioner, counsel for the State and Mr. Vivek Aanand
Amritesh, learned counsel appearing for the Central Selection
Board of Constable (hereinafter referred to as 'the Board').

Mr. Amritesh, learned counsel appearing for 'the Board'
invites the attention of this Court to paragraph 15 of the counter
affidavit of 'the Board' in which it is stated that the petitioner
belongs to Backward Class Female Non-Home Guard category and
secured 102 marks as against the cut off marks of 105. According to
the learned counsel for 'the Board', the last of the selected
candidate in this category has secured 105 marks and since the



marks secured by the petitioner is below the cut off marks that is the reason for her non-selection.

The counter affidavit was served on learned counsel for the petitioner on 29.8.2017 and there is no rejoinder to the same. Meaning thereby the statement made in paragraph 15 of the counter affidavit goes uncontested.

The writ petition is disposed of.

(Jyoti Saran, J)

SKPathak/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	07-09-2017
Transmission Date	NA

