

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6021 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -156 Year- 2016 Thana -BIRPUR District- SUPAUL

=====

Arvind Kumar Mehta @ Arvind Kr. Mehta Son of Late Deo Narayan Mehta
Resident of Village-Narpatpatti, P.S. Ratanpur, District-Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Narsingh Tanti, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE JUSTICE SMT. NILU AGRAWAL
ORAL ORDER

3 13-04-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

Petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since
19.07.2016 in connection with Birpur (Bhimnagar O.P.) P.S.
Case No. 156 of 2016, G.R. No. 540 of 2016 registered for
the offence punishable under Section 394 of the Indian Penal
Code.

The prosecution case is that while the informant
was returning home after closing his shop, two unknown
persons snatched Rs. 10,000/- and a Nokia mobile and also
assaulted him.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for



the petitioner that he is innocent and just because he has criminal history and on the restatement of the informant that his son had seen him loitering near his shop, petitioner has been made accused. He submits that charge-sheet has already been submitted, hence, there is no chance of tampering with the prosecution evidence and that no Test Identification Parade has been done so far.

However, learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for bail.

Considering the facts and circumstances, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Birpur, District Supaul in connection with Birpur (Bhimnagar O.P.) P.S. Case No. 156 of 2016, G.R. No. 540 of 2016, subject to the condition that one of the bailors would be close relative and other bailor would be a person, who has sufficient immovable properties within the jurisdiction of the concerned police station/ Court and that petitioner will appear before the police/ Court below on each and every date and his failure to appear before the learned Court below on two consecutive



dates without assigning any reason will entail cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Nilu Agrawal, J.)

Arjun/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

