

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.16088 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -330 Year- 2015 Thana -CHAPRA MUFFASIL District- SARAN

=====

Vikas Kumar, aged about 23 years Son of late Upendra Kumar Resident of
Village Ramji Chok, P.S. Fatuha, District- Patna.

.... Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Dr. Shambhu Narayan Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sunil Kumar Pandey, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

3 08-04-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner.

This is an application for bail in connection with
Muffasil P.S.Case No. 330 of 2015 registered for the offences
punishable under Sections 302 and 201/34 of the Indian Penal
Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that
petitioner is not named in this case and only on the basis of
confessional statement of another accused he has been implicated
in this case and he is in custody for 15 months. Learned counsel
has fairly admitted that he has been convicted in the earlier case
from which he has been remanded.

Heard learned APP, who has opposed the prayer for
bail.



Having heard both sides and considering the fact that petitioner has already been convicted in another case and his name transpires on the basis of confessional statement, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. Prayer for bail is rejected.

However, learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and try to conclude it within a period of six months on day-to-day basis and further Superintendent of Police, Saran, is directed to ensure that the witnesses are present in court on the date fixed so that the trial is concluded within the said period. If trial is not concluded within the said period, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.

This application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

spal/-

U			
---	--	--	--

