

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.6061 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -12 Year- 2016 Thana -MAJHAULIA District-
 WESTCHAMPARAN(BETTIAH)

=====

1. Dinesh Sah, S/o- Pitamber Sah, R/o- Village-Gora Belwa, P.S.-
 Shanichari, District-West Champaran at Bettiah.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Birendra Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rana Randhir Singh

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE HEMANT KUMAR
SRIVASTAVA
ORAL ORDER

03/ 08.03.2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as learned

Addl. Public Prosecutor for the State.

Petitioner was caught with huge counterfeit notes and was
 remanded in jail custody on 11.1.2016. Earlier prayer for bail of the
 petitioner was rejected by this court vide order dated 21.6.2016 passed
 in Cr. Misc. no. 25476/2016.

Trial court has reported that charge against the petitioner
 was framed on 6.12.2016 and nine proposed witnesses have been
 shown to be charge sheeted witnesses but not a single prosecution
 witness has been examined by the prosecution.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances as well as
 submissions of the parties, I am not inclined to release the petitioner
 on bail and, again, his prayer for bail in connection with Session trial
 no. 540/2016 arising out of Majhaulia P.S. Case no. 12/2016 pending
 in the court of Addl. Sessions Judge V, Bettiah stands rejected.



However, learned trial court is directed to conclude the trial of the petitioner within four months from the date of receipt/production of a copy of this order.

Let copy of this order be sent to Superintendent of Police, Bettiah with direction to him to ensure the presence of remaining prosecution witnesses before the concerned court without any delay and a copy of this order be also sent to Public Prosecutor, Bettiah so that he could make correspondence to S.P., Bettiah for ensuring the presence of the prosecution witnesses.

It is also made clear that if trial of the petitioner is not concluded within the above stated period of four months, petitioner may renew his prayer for bail before the trial court itself.

shahid

(Hemant Kumar Srivastava,J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

