

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2441 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -18 Year- 2016 Thana -SHANKARPUR District- MADHEPURA

=====

Binod Yadav @ Vinod Yadav, son of Upendra Yadav, Resident of Village-
Kalhua, P.S.- Shankarpur, District- Madhepura.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Sanjay Kumar Singh, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Smt. Asha Kumari, Advocate.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJENDRA KUMAR
MISHRA
ORAL ORDER

2 04-02-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned A.P.P. for the State.

The petitioner is accused in connection with
Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 18 of 2016 registered under Section 7 of
the Essential Commodities Act.

The accusation is that, on 16.02.2016, in the evening,
informant being the Block Agricultural Officer-cum-Block Supply
Officer, Shankarpur, received information from Shankarpur Police
Station about seizing of 39 bags, each containing 50 KG of wheat
belonging to F.C.I. Thereafter, he went to the police station and
inspected the bags and also inspected Fair Price Shop of Bauwa
Sardar and took the sample of wheat, which was found same as
seized by the police.



Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that, in fact, after institution of the present case, the statement of the driver of the tractor was recorded, who disclosed that the seized wheat was being carried to the house of this petitioner except that there is nothing against the petitioner to show his involvement in the present case. Further submission is that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and is in custody since 17.11.2016.

Having regard to the facts and the circumstances of the case, the petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/-(Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the Chief Judicial Magistrate, Madhepura, in connection with Shankarpur P.S. Case No. 18 of 2016. Out of two sureties, one surety must be the close relative of the petitioner.

(Rajendra Kumar Mishra, J)

Bhardwaj/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

