

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Criminal Miscellaneous No.4003 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -60 Year- 2016 Thana -GAYGHAT District- MUZAFFARPUR

Vinod Rai, Son of Ram Vilash Rai, Resident of village- Godanpatti, P.S.
Gaighat, District - Muzaffarpur. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Bihar. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Alok Kumar Alok

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Sri Narendra Kumar Singh

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA MOHAN
SHARMA**

ORAL ORDER

4 28-03-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned counsel
representing the State.

Petitioner seeks bail in connection with Gaighat P.S. Case
No. 60 of 2016 registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 302, 201 of the Indian Penal Code.

Ranjana Devi, the sister of the informant, was married to the
petitioner 12 years ago and out of the wedlock there is a son and
two daughters. After marriage the petitioner and other family
members used to torture and assault her and ultimately the
petitioner and others killed her and were burning the dead body.
After knowing the same Sikandar Paswan went there and then the
accused persons fled away after leaving the half burnt dead body.

Submission is of false implication and that there is no eye
witness of the occurrence, no one has seen the petitioner killing
the deceased, there was cordial relation between the petitioner and



his wife and they were blessed with three children, the wife of the petitioner was pressurizing him to provide economic assistance to her brothers which was refused, the petitioner was earning at Delhi and as the proposal was not accepted by the petitioner there was chaos in the family, the petitioner tried his best to conciliate the matter but in vain, the wife of the petitioner started quarreling, abusing and creating various other problems to the petitioner, in absence of the petitioner there was exchange of hot words between the wife of the petitioner and other family members and then she committed suicide by hanging herself. During investigation a number of witnesses have stated like that and further regarding absence of the petitioner at his house on the date of occurrence but even then chargesheet has been submitted against the petitioner, the petitioner is in custody since 23.04.2016 having no criminal antecedent.

Learned APP duly assisted by learned counsel for the informant opposes the prayer of bail by submitting that during investigation the witnesses have supported the allegation and the deceased was killed by strangulation by the petitioner and others and further they were burning the dead body without giving any information to anyone.

In the facts and circumstances stated above, considering



the allegation attributed against the petitioner serious in nature,
this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail,
accordingly, his such prayer stands rejected.

(Jitendra Mohan Sharma, J)

avin/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

