

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.1299 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -34 Year- 2016 Thana -SACHIWALAYA District- PATNA

=====

Ripti Sharma @ Ripti Kumar Sharma @ Ripti Kumar, Son of Anjani
Kumar Sharma, r/o village - Kalgiganj, P.S. Kahalgaon, Distt. Bhagalpur

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vivekanand Vivek, Advoate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Panchanand Pandit, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY PRIYA
ORAL ORDER

4 20-02-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

The petitioner seeks bail in a case instituted for the
offence under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code.

It is alleged in the written report that the informant
had received a call that he got a lottery and he will get prize of
four wheelers worth Rs.23,00,000/-. The informant deposited half
of the price of the vehicle in different account number given by
the caller. The informant deposited Rs.10,96,800/- in the account
Nos. 462510110007613, 581210110010027, 581210110009945
and 3043000100077502 in the name of Sanjay Chaurasia, Rajiv
Ranjan Singh, Ripti Sharma and Rajiv Singh respectively and,
thereafter, the caller stopped calling the informant and then he
got suspicion and filed this case. The I.O. in course of



investigation got the details of unknown callers and one of the accounts was found belonging to the petitioner.

The learned Sessions Judge has mentioned in the impugned order that in paragraph-39 of the case diary it has come during investigation that the petitioner opened forged account in the bank and received Rs.1,35,000/- in that account on different dates.

The counsel for the informant has stated that he has deposited Rs.1,43,000/- in the name of Ripti Sharma in Account No. 581210110009945. In support of it, he has enclosed the paying slip and bank statement which is annexed as Annexure-1/1 and 1/2 of the counter affidavit.

In such circumstances, keeping in view the serious nature of allegation against the petitioner of committing fraud, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

The prayer for bail of the petitioner stands rejected.

However, the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after six months if no substantive progress is made in the trial.

(Sanjay Priya, J)

S.Ali/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

