

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Criminal Miscellaneous No.2869 of 2017

Arising Out of PS.Case No. -979 Year- 2016 Thana -SASARAM NAGAR District- SASARAM
(ROHTAS)

=====

1. Sanjay Keshari, S/o Vishwanath Keshari, resident of Mohalla- Karan-Sarai, P.S.- Sasaram (T), District- Rohtas.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.

.... Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Krishna Pd. Singh, Sr. Adv.

Mr. Mithilesh Kr. Singh, Adv.

For the Informant : Mr. Sunil Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Tarkeshwar Nath Thakur

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD KUMAR SINHA
ORAL ORDER

2 10-02-2017 Heard the parties.

This application has been filed in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S.Case No.979 of 2016 for the offence under Section 366(A) of the Indian Penal Code.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that he is in custody since 6.11.2016. He is not named in the F.I.R. but later on he has been implicated in this case because he is the land-lord of co-accused Ritesh and Bhola Gond and except that there is nothing against this petitioner.

Heard learned A.P.P. and the learned counsel for the informant. They have opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner.

Having heard both sides. In view of the fact that there is absolutely nothing against this petitioner except that he is land-



lord of co-accused and he is in custody for about three months, let the petitioner, above named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs.25,000/- (Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of C.J.M., Sasaram, District- Rohtas in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S.Case No.979 of 2016.

With following conditions :

- (i) One of the bailors of the petitioner shall be a local person having sufficient immovable property within the jurisdiction of the concerned court.
- (ii) The petitioner will not induce any witness or tamper with the evidence.
- (iii) The petitioner shall co-operate in the disposal of trial and make himself available as and when required by the court and on the event of failure on his part to appear before the court below on two consecutive dates without showing any genuine reasons, the prosecution is free to move for cancellation of his bail.

With the aforesaid observation, this application is allowed.

(Vinod Kumar Sinha, J)

chn/-

U			
---	--	--	--

