

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.74 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.21547 of 2014

=====

Mani Lal, Son of Late Ramjee Laheri, Resident of Village- Kazi Fatuchak,
P.S.- Barbigaha, District- Shekhapura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. Sri Arun Kumar Singh, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Principal Secretary, Water Resources Department, Government of Bihar, Sinchai Bhawan, P.S.- Gardanibagh, District- Patna.
3. Sri Dharnidhar Prasad, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Chief Engineer, Water Resources Department, Bhagalpur Zone, Office at Barari, P.S.- Kotwali (Industrial Area), Town and District- Bhagalpur.
4. Ravindra Kumar, Father's name not known to the petitioner, Superintending Engineer, Sinchai Srijan, Water Resources Department, Sinchai Anchal, Kharagpur, P.S.- Kharagpur, District- Jamui.
5. Sudhir Kumar Singh, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Executive Engineer, Sinchai Srijan Water Resources Department, Irrigation Division No. 1, Laxmipur, P.S.- Laxmipur, District- Jamui.
6. Kaushal Kumar, father's name not known to the petitioner, the Collector and District Magistrate, Jamui, P.S. and District- Jamui.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :

For the Respondent/s :

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

ORAL ORDER

- 2 15-11-2017 Considering the order passed by the respondents deciding the claim of the petitioner and granting him the benefit with effect from the date of joining i.e. 20th of April, 2015 now only on account of the fact that the benefit as granted to Kamdeo Prasad Yadav has not been granted, I see no reason to initiate any action for contempt. In case the petitioner feels that his claim has been improperly rejected and he is entitled to the benefit as was granted to Respondent No. 5 in the writ petition



Kamdeo Prasad Yadav, he may challenge the same in accordance with law but keeping in view the nature of order passed which was only a liberty granted to the petitioner to file a representation and a direction to the respondents to consider the representation, no case is made out for initiating action for contempt.

The application stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

P.K.P.

U			
---	--	--	--

