

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17627 of 2017

- =====
1. Neyaz Ahmad, son of Md. Ajig, resident of Mohalla- Yusufganj, P.S. Laheriasarai, District-Darbhanga.
 2. Manoj Kumar Paswan, son of Shri Ramesh Paswan, resident of village-Baruara, P.S.-Kekla (Bahadurpur) District-Darbhanga.
 3. Mahejabi Khanam, wife of Samir Khan, resident of mohalla-Old Munsafi, P.S.-Lalbag, District- Darbhanga.
 4. Pankaj Kumar, son of Yogendra Prasad Chauhan, resident of mohalla-Bakarganj, P.S.-Laheriasarai, District-Darbhanga
 5. Neelam Kumari, daughter of Bhubneshwar Mahto, resident of mohalla-Haiderpur Pandaul, P.S.-Pandaul, District-Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga
5. The District Education Officer, Darbhanga
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Darbhanga.
7. The Block Education Officer, Bahadurpur, Darbhanga
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Basatpur, District-Darbhanga
9. The Head Master, Primary School Basatpur, Block-Bahadurpur, District-Darbhanga.
10. The Head Master, Primary School, Usmath, Block-Bahadurpur, District-Darbhanga.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Chandra Jha-3

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Madanjit Kumar - Gp20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR
UPADHYAY
ORAL ORDER

2 01-12-2017 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and the
counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

Mr. Satish Chandra Jha, appearing on behalf of the
petitioners submits that the case of the petitioners is covered by a
decision of this court in C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015. He submits



that this case may also be disposed of in the similar term like the writ petitioners of C.W.J.C. No. 13305 of 2017.

Counsel for the State has no objection in disposal of the writ petition in terms of the order dated 17.11.2017 in C.W.J.C. No. 13305 of 2017.

Considering the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent no.6 to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of decision in C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015 if the case of the petitioners is found similar on fact to the petitioners of C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015, the benefit arising out of such decision must reach the petitioners within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of this order.

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Ravi/-

U			
---	--	--	--

