

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Letters Patent Appeal No.125 of 2017

In

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3616 of 2016

-
-
1. Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd., through its Managing Director, 303, Maurya Tower, Maurya Lok Complex, Budha Marg, Patna 800001.
 2. The Managing Director, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited, 303, Maurya Tower, Maurya Lok Complex, Budha Marg, Patna 800001.
 3. The General Manager - Technical, Bihar Urban Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited, 303, Maurya Tower, Maurya Lok Complex, Budha Marg, Patna 800001.

... .. Respondents- Appellant/s

Versus

1. Tata Motors Limited having its registered office at Bombay House, 24 Homi Mody Street, Mumbai - 400001 and its Regional Office at Commercial Vehicle Business Unit, RENE TOWERS, 3rd Floor, 1842, Rajdanga Main Road, P.S. Kasba, Kolkata - 700107 through its Authorized Signatory Mr. Tuhin Chatterjee, Son of Late Parimal Chatterjee, Resident of Flat No. UV - 36 - 4C, 1050/1 Survey Park, Kolkata 700075 working as Senior Manager Legal.
2. M/s. Maurya Motors Private Limited having its registered office at Plot No. C - 1, Industrial Area, Patliputra, Police Station, Patliputra, District - Patna 800013.

.... Petitioners/Respondents 1st Set.

3. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna 800014.
4. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development and Housing Department, Government of Bihar, Vikash Bhawan, Bailey Road, Patna 800014.

... .. Respondents/ Respondents 2nd Set.

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar Priyadarshi, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL ORDER

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

4 14-12-2017 Taking note of the fact that in furtherance to the order passed on 25.10.2016 in CWJC No.3616 of 2016, the Arbitrator had proceeded with the adjudication of the dispute in question



and we are informed that now final hearing in the arbitration proceedings is also over and the matter is reserved for passing the final award. We find that no further indulgence into the matter is called for now as the arbitration proceedings have come to an end. Parties may ventilate their grievance afresh in accordance to law after the arbitration proceedings are concluded and the award is passed.

With the aforesaid, for the present, finding now the appeal has been rendered infructuous, the appeal stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

U			
---	--	--	--

