

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17782 of 2016**

=====

Ranjeet Kumar Son of Late Vishwanath Prasad Resident of Mohalla- Azad Colony,  
P.S. kazipur, District Muzaffarpur

.... .... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through the General Manager, East Central Railway, Hazipur
2. The General Manager, East Central Railway, Hazipur
3. The Divisional Rail Manager (D.R.M.) Commercial, East Central Railway, Sonapur
4. The Station Superintendent, East Central Railway, Muzaffarpur
5. The Commercial Superintendent, East Central Railway, Muzaffarpur
6. Bipin Kumar Son of Shri Kapileshwar Choudhary Resident of Old Mai Asthan Maripur, P.S. Kazi Mohammadpur, District Muzaffarpur

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.  
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Anil Kumar Sinha, Adv.  
For the Railway : Mr. Shatrughna Pandey, Adv.

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY**

**ORAL JUDGMENT**

**Date: 08-05-2017**

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and counsel for  
the Railway.

In this case the petitioner is seeking quashing of letter  
no. C/536/2015 dated 31.08.2016 issued by Divisional Rail Manager  
Commercial, East Central Railway, Sonapur, whereby and  
whereunder, it has been claimed by the petitioner that the condition of  
the selection has been changed, which is completely a wrong  
submission, as the condition of selection has not been changed, but  
after selection, the rate of parking has been changed. Again the tender



no. C/536/2015 dated 06.05.2016 was invited for operating and maintaining the parking stand situated at main gate side of Muzaffarpur Railway Station premises for the period of three years. The petitioner could not be selected and ultimately, the private respondent was selected as a contractor and as has been claimed by the petitioner that after two days vide letter no. C/536/parking stand/Muzaffapur/2015 dated 13.07.2016, the rate of parking has been revised. The changing of parking rate does not mean that the condition of the tender rate has been changed.

This court is not inclined to interfere in this order.

The petitioner, if so advised, may make complaint before the appropriate authority.

With the aforesaid observation, this petition is dismissed.

**(Shivaji Pandey, J.)**

Vinita/-

|                   |            |
|-------------------|------------|
| AFR/NAFR          | NAFR       |
| CAV DATE          | NA         |
| Uploading Date    | 15/05/2017 |
| Transmission Date | NA         |

