

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.3747 of 2016

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 6493 of 2016

=====

Anjani Kumar @ Anjani Kumar Jaiswal, son of Late Ram Chandra Prasad,
resident of Chandan Nagar, Gulab Bag, P.S.- Sadar, District- Purnea.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Dr. Deepak Kumar the Principal Secretary, Department of Food Supply and Consumer Protection, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. Mr. Ganga Prasad, the Managing Director, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation, Bihar, Patna.
4. Mr. Ravindra Prasad Sinha, the District Manager, Bihar State Food and Civil Supply Corporation, Purnea.
5. Mr. Akhilesh Jaiswal, son of Late Achhilal Prasad, resident of village- Chandan Nagar, Gulab Bag, P.S.- Sadar, District- Purnea, Proprietor of Balaji Mini Rice Mill, Gulab Bagt, Purnea Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar, Advocate

For the Respondents : Mr. S. Raza Ahmad, AAG 5.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SAMARENDRA PRATAP SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 17-05-2017 The petitioner has filed the contempt petition for willful disobedience of order dated 25.7.2016, passed in C.W.J.C.No. 6493 of 2016.

Petitioner is owner of a rice mill and was entrusted paddy for its milling for the session 2013-14 and 2014-15. He submitted that he had given entire proportionate quantity of the CMR (rice) to the Bihar State Food and Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. and submitted bill amount of Rs.12,95,000.62 against the milling, transportation and handling and other charges which was still not paid to him. It was the case of the



petitioner that the authorities should either adjust his bill against the outstanding, and pay him the rest amount, so that he could repay the loan amount taken for procurement of paddy. The petitioner in this respect had also filed representation before the District Manager, Bihar State Food Corporation, Purnea. The writ petition was disposed of with a direction to the respondents to dispose of the representation of the petitioner (annexure-2).

The petitioner submits that in fact his case has not been considered as opposite parties have not addressed his grievances.

The contempt petition is disposed of with observation that the petitioner should again file his representation before the opposite parties, who will consider all aspects of the matter and pass appropriate order within two months thereafter. This court has not expressed its opinion on the merits of the case.

The contempt petition is thus disposed of.

(Samarendra Pratap Singh, J)

Shashi.

U			
---	--	--	--

