

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.16889 of 2017

=====

M/s Ashok Electricals, Nirmali, District Supaul through its Sole Proprietor
Ashok Kumar, S/o Sri Subo Narayan Shah, Resident of Village-Nirmali P.S.-
Niramli, District-Supaul.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through Chief Secretary, Bihar Patna
2. The District Magistrate-Cum-District Electoral Officer, Supaul
3. The Deputy Electoral Officer, Supaul
4. District-Panchayat Raj Officer, Supaul.
5. The Sub-Divisional officer, Nirmali, Supaul.
6. The Block Development Officer, Raghopur, Supaul.
7. The Block Development Officer, Saraigarh, Supaul.

.... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Pramod Kumar Singh, Advocate.
For the Respondents : Mr. Sunil Kumar Mandal, SC-3
Ms. Neelam Kumari, AC to SC-3

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE VIKASH JAIN

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-11-2017

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
counsel for the respondents.

2. The present writ petition has been taken up out of turn
on the request of learned counsel for the petitioner for disposal of the
same with a direction to the respondents to pay the admitted dues of
the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite
having done the work of temporary electrification and sound
amplification in the district of Supaul during Bihar Assembly Election,
2015, a considerable amount of Rs. 75,24,625/- remains outstanding
and the security money of Rs. 1,50,000/- is also lying with the
respondents.



4. Learned counsel for the respondents appears and has been heard.

5. Having regard to the nature of the grievances of the petitioner and with consent of the parties this writ petition is disposed of, granting liberty to the petitioner to approach the District Magistrate-cum-District Electoral Officer, Supaul (Respondent No. 2) with a fresh representation for redressal of its grievances. If any such representation is filed within a period of two weeks from today, the same shall be considered and disposed of, ensuring payment to the extent found due to the petitioner, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving the petitioner's representation. Any delay in payment of the admitted amount beyond the stipulated period as stated above, shall entitle the petitioner to receive payment together with simple interest at the rate of 6% per annum on the admitted dues calculated from the date when the amount became due till the date of its actual payment. In case the petitioner's claim is found inadmissible, whether in whole or in part, the petitioner's representation shall be disposed of by a speaking order in that regard.

6. It is made clear that this Court is not expressing any opinion on the merits of the claim of the petitioner.

(Vikash Jain, J)

Md. Ibrarul/Chandran

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	N.A.
Uploading Date	01.12.2017
Transmission Date	N.A.

