

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.17997 of 2016

=====

1. Priya Ranjan Son of Devendra Kumar Singh Resident of Mohalla-Vidyapuri, Ward No. 2, P.S. Supaul, District Supaul.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Housing Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Housing Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Bihar Urban Development Agency, Urban Development & Housing Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Bihar Urban Development Agency, Urban Development & Housing Department Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, District Urban Development Agency, Saharsa.
6. The Executive Officer, Nagar Parishad, Saharsa.

.... Respondent/s

with

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.243 of 2017

=====

1. Sajan Kumar Yadav Son of Late Ganesh Prasad Yadav, Resident of Officers Colony, Mirchaibadhi, P.S.- Sahayak Nagar, Katihar, District-Katihar.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Urban Development & Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chief Engineer, Bihar Urban Development Agency, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Bihar Urban Development Agency, Urban Development and Housing Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
5. The Executive Engineer, District Urban Development Agency, Katihar.
6. The Municipal Commissioner, Katihar Municipal Corporation, Katihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

(In CWJC No.17997 of 2016)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shambhu Nath
For the State : Mr. Rajiv Roy-Gp1,
Mr. Surehs Kumar, AC to GP-1

(In CWJC No.243 of 2017)

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shambhu Nath
For the State : Mr. Rajiv Roy- Gp1,
Mr. Suresh Kumar, AC to GP-1



For the Corporation : Mr. Vinoy Ranjan.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHIVAJI PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 18-05-2017 Heard the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that
aforesaid cases are squarely covered by the judgment passed
in C.W.J.C. No.8869 of 2016 and analogous cases.

In such view of the matter, these cases are also disposed
of with the same terms and conditions mentioned in the said
judgment and authority concerned will give same benefit if
these cases are covered by the aforesaid judgment.

With the aforesaid observation these writ petitions are
disposed of.

(Shivaji Pandey, J)

Vinay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

