

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18342 of 2017

- =====
1. Durga Nand Jha, Son of Late Bhubneshwar Jha, Resident of Village- Baruara, P.S.- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
 2. Laxaman Paswan, Son of Ramdeo Paswan, Resident of Mohalla- Bahadurpur, P.S.- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
 3. Misa Bharti, Daughter of Devendra Prasad Chaudhary, Resident of Village- East Bilaspur, P.S.- Hayaghat, District- Darbhanga.
 4. Bihari Prasad, Son of Shankar Prasad, Resident of Village- Gangdah, P.S.- Baheri, District- Darbhanga.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar.
2. The Principal Secretary, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Primary Education, Human Resources Development Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Darbhanga.
5. The District Education Officer, Darbhanga.
6. The District Programme Officer (Establishment), Darbhanga.
7. The Block Education Officer, Bahadurpur, Darbhanga.
8. The Panchayat Secretary, Gram Panchayat Raj Basatpur, District- Darbhanga.
9. The Headmaster, Primary School, Usamedh, Block- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
10. The Headmaster, Rajkiya Primary School, Basatpur Paswan Tole, Block- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.
11. The Headmaster, Primary School, Bharatpur, Block- Bahadurpur, District- Darbhanga.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satish Chandra Jha-3, Adv.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Roy-No.1 -SC13

=====



CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 15-12-2017

Heard Mr. Satish Chandra Jha, No.3, learned Counsel for the petitioners and the Counsel appearing on behalf of the State.

2. Mr. Jha, learned Counsel, submits that the case of the petitioners is squarely covered by the orders of this Court as contained in Annexures 4 and 5.

3. The writ application is, accordingly, disposed of in the following terms:

“Considering the aforesaid, the writ petition is disposed of with direction to the respondent no. 6 to consider the case of the petitioners in the light of decision in C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015, if the case of the petitioners is found similar on fact to the petitioners of C.W.J.C. No. 417 of 2015, the benefit arising out of such decision must reach the petitioners within a period of 60 days from the date of receipt/production of this order.”

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Praveen-II/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CA V DATE	N/A
Uploading Date	18/12/2017
Transmission Date	N/A

