

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.18000 of 2016

=====

1. Rajeev Lochan, Son of late Ramapati Yadav
2. Ravindra Yadav, Son of late Ram Bilash Yadav
Both Resident of Village- Srichandrapur, P.O. Shaligrami, P.S.- Sahebpur
Kamal, District Begusarai.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The Union of India through its Secretary, Department of Railway, New Delhi.
2. The Chairman, Railway Board, Room No. 236, Rail Bhawan, Raisima Road,
New Delhi- 110001.
3. The Member Traffic, Railway Board, Room No. 236, Rail Bhawan, Raisima
Road, New Delhi- 110001.
4. The General Manager, New G.M. Building, Dighi Kalan, Hajipur.
5. Divisional Railway Manager, Sonapur Barbatta, District Saran.
6. The State of Bihar through Principal Secretary, Rural Development Department,
Patna.
7. The District Magistrate, Begusarai.
8. The District Land Acquisition Officer, Begusarai.
9. The Circle Officer, Sahebpur Kamal, District Begusarai.
10. The Chief Secretary, Govt. of Bihar.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Pramod Rajpati, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Ajay-GA-5
For the Railway : Ms. Sudha Ambastha, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

And

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date: 04-04-2017

Inter alia contending that the Railway Station has a wrong nomenclature and its name is notified in such a manner that it creates confusion and misapprehension in the minds of the citizen, this writ petition has been filed in public interest seeking change of the name of the Railway Station in question.



The question as to what should be the name of the Railway Station and what are the considerations for naming or renaming the Railway Station are policy matters within the exclusive domain of the executive authorities and a Writ Court is not expected to enter into such a matter and direct for naming of the Railway Station by issuing a mandamus in exercise of its power under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. The power to do so is with the policy makers or the executive authorities and we see no reason to make any indulgence into the matter. The petitioners may take up the issue with the public representative or the executive authorities and it is for these authorities to consider the grievance of the petitioners.

With the aforesaid, finding no case for any indulgence made into the matter, the petition stands disposed of.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Sudhir Singh, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	N. A. F. R.
CAV DATE	N. A.
Uploading Date	08.04.2017
Transmission Date	

