

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.13370 of 2016

=====

Rabindra Prasad Rai Son of Sri Dasrath Prasad Rai, resident of village- Haraji P.S. Awatar Nagar, District- Saran.

.... Petitioner

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Education (HRD), Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Chancellor of Jai Prakesh University, Chapra, District- Saran.
3. The Vice- Chancellor of Jai Prakesh University, Chapra, District- Saran.
4. The Registrar, Jai Prakesh University, Chapra, District- Saran.
5. The Director, Higher Education Department Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
6. The Secretary, Deoraha Baba Sridhar Das Degree College, Rampur Kanda Garkha, District- Saran.
7. Sri Arjun Prasad Yadav Son of Late Hira Lal Rai, the Ex-Principal, Deoraha Baba Sridhar Das Degree College, Rampur, Kanda, Garkha, District- Saran, resident of Village- Mohimpur, P.S. Garkha, District- Saran.
8. Sri Kedar Nath Pandey, Ex- Secretary of Deoraha Baba Sridhar Das Degree College, Rampur, Kanda, Garkha, District- Saran, resident of 73, MIG, Hanuman Nagar, P.S. Kankarbagh at and District- Patna.
9. The Principal-in-Charge, Deoraha Baba Sridhar Das Degree College, Rampur Kanda, Garkha, District- Saran.

... Respondents

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : None.

For the Respondent/s : Mr. Narendra Kumar, AC to GP-20

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH
ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 30-03-2017

The petitioner claims to be an Assistant Professor in the subject of History in Deoraha Baba Sridhar Das Degree College, Rampur Kanda, Garkha, District – Saran, which College is said to be affiliated with the Jai Prakash University, Saran. He has sought a



direction from this Court to make an inquiry into illegal admissions in non-recognized subjects in the said College and to take action against respondent nos. 7, 8 and 9, so that illegal admissions are not allowed. He has made grievance against Principal-in-Charge of the said College in taking admission.

2. From the nature of allegations which have been made in the writ application, it appears that there is some internal dispute in the functioning of the College which is privately managed by the governing body which the petitioner is trying to raise, immediately through present proceeding. The writ application, in my view, cannot be maintained since the relief has been sought against private body.

3. I am also of the view that the application is not *bona fide* and its filing is misuse of the process of the Court.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J.)

Rajeev/-

U			
---	--	--	--

