

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Letters Patent Appeal No.439 of 2017

In
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.20231 of 2014

=====

Naresh Prasad, Son of Late Kali Sao, Resident of Mohalla Sonar Patti, P.S.
Nawada Town, District Nawada.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Education Department, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Secondary Education, Education Department, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Nawada.
5. The Additional District Magistrate, Nawada.
6. The Deputy Development Commissioner, Nawada.
7. The District Education Officer, Nawada.
8. The District Programme Officer, Nawada.
9. The Principal, Project Girls Inter School, Govindpur, District Nawada.
10. Anita Kumari, wife of Bharat Bhushan, resident of Village & P.O. Govindpur, P.S. Govindpur, District Nawada, at present working as Assistant Teacher, Project Girls Inter School, Govindpur, Nawada.
11. Smt. Sushila Devi, wife of Late Parmeshwar Singh, resident of Village & P.O. Govindpur, P.S. Govindpur, District Nawada, at present posted as Peon, Project Girls Inter School, Govindpur, Nawada.
12. Suraj Prasad Sao, son of Late Kishun Sao, resident of Village & P.O. Govindpur, P.S. Govindpur, District Nawada.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Naresh Prasad, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Ashutosh Ranjan Pandey-AAG 15

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE

and

HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR UPADHYAY

ORAL JUDGMENT

(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)

Date : 27-11-2017

Re.: Interlocutory Application No.1649 of 2017

Delay of 1 year 215 days in filing of the Letters Patent
Appeal is condoned.

Interlocutory Application stands allowed and disposed of.



Re.: Letters Patent Appeal No.439 of 2017

Considering the fact that the appellant had challenged the so-called illegal appointment of the respondents directly before the Writ Court without invoking the statutory remedy available and the Writ Court after examining the issue did not find any ground to interfere into the matter after the appellant has become unsuccessful to seek appointment, we see no reason to make any indulgence into the matter. The appeal stands dismissed.

(Rajendra Menon, CJ)

(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)

Sunil/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	30.11.2017
Transmission Date	

