

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**

**Letters Patent Appeal No.1104 of 2016**

**In**

**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No. 7474 of 2015**

=====

Purva Madhya Railway Mazdur Sangh, having its office at Qr. No. 1, Road No. 1, Gardanibagh, Patna - 800001 represented through its General Secretary, Dilip Kumar Singh S/o Harishchandra Prasad Singh resident of village + P.O. - Ulao, P.S. - Begusarai, District - Begusarai.

.... .... Appellant/s

Versus

1. The Union of India, through General Manager, E.C. Railway, Hajipur.
2. The Railway Board, through its Chairman, Ministry of Railways, Government of India, Rail Bhawan, New Delhi.
3. East Central Railways, through the General Manager, East Central Railways, Hajipur.
4. The General Railway Manager, Danapur Division, Danapur, Patna.
5. The Divisional Railway Manager, Danapur Division, Danapur, Patna.
6. East Central Railway Men's Congress, through its General Secretary at House No. 506/384, New Laljee Tola, Near Kabrostan, Patna - 800001.
7. East Central Railway Karamchari Union, through its General Secretary at House Railway Station Building 1st Floor, Patna Junction, Patna - 800001.
8. State of Bihar through Secretary Labour Resources Department.

.... .... Respondent/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Sanchay Srivastava, Adv.

For the Respondent/s :

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE**

**and**

**HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR  
UPADHYAY**

**ORAL ORDER**

**(Per: HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE)**

8      28-06-2017      Seeking exception to an order dated 13<sup>th</sup> August 2015 passed by the Writ Court in C.W.J.C. No. 7474 of 2015, this appeal has been filed under clause 10 of the Letters Patent.

The appellant is a Trade Union Employee, wanted office space, bulletin board, advertising space and other related facilities at par with other trade union, which has been denied on



account of the fact that as the Union is a mechanism of Railway Administration, the aforesaid facility cannot be granted. The Writ Court after taking note of facts and circumstances of the case, upheld the action of the respondents, has not granted the facility, which does not warrant reconsideration.

The appeal stands dismissed.

**(Rajendra Menon, CJ)**

**(Anil Kumar Upadhyay, J)**

Mahesh/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

