

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA

Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.14669 of 2017

=====

1. Md. Shanur Rahman, Son of Razaur Rahman, Resident of Shah Kamal Road, Lodi Kutra, Jhaugank, Patna City.
2. Deep Jyoti Kumari, Daughter of Pramod Singh, Resident of Village- Guriyawan, P.O.- Itwan, P.S.- Mohanpur, District- Gaya.
3. Ayushi Kumari, Daughter of Kavindra Prasad Singh, Resident of Kathgachhi Daunapur under, P.O.- Chand Choura, P.S.- Vishnupad, District- Gaya.

.... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Chief Secretary, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Principal Secretary, Department of Education, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Chairman, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.
4. The Secretary, Bihar School Examination Board, Patna.

.... Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Shashi Bhushan Kumar
Mrs. Arti Kumari
For the Board : Mr. Ajay

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHAKRADHARI SHARAN SINGH

ORAL JUDGMENT

Date: 01-12-2017

The petitioner No. 1 has not applied for scrutiny of his answer-sheets.

In such circumstance, prayer on his behalf for re-evaluation of the answer-sheets cannot be entertained.

This application to the extent it relates to petitioner No. 1 is dismissed.

A counter affidavit has been filed on behalf of the Bihar School Examination Board, Patna. It has been stated as regards petitioner No. 2 that upon scrutiny of the answer-sheets of the Physics



and Chemistry papers, no change has been found and therefore, no alteration has been made in the marks awarded to him. As regards petitioner No. 3, it has been stated that in Biology paper, upon scrutiny it has been found that she has scored 27 marks as against 10 marks shown in the mark-sheet and accordingly, alteration has been done. No change, however, has been found in the papers of Physics and Chemistry of petitioner No. 3.

Learned counsel, appearing on behalf of the petitioners, however, submitted that the marks awarded to them is much below their expectations. He has submitted that the facts can be revealed once the answer-sheets of petitioner Nos. 2 and 3 are produced before this Court by the Board.

There is no provision of re-evaluation of the answer-sheets. Such direction cannot be issued. This writ application is, accordingly, dismissed.

(Chakradhari Sharan Singh, J)

ajay gupta/-

AFR/NAFR	NAFR
CAV DATE	NA
Uploading Date	13.12.2017
Transmission Date	13.12.2017

